IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SILVER BOW

1

4

5

6

MONTANA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, INC., a Montana non-profit corporation,

UC-2-1979.

Petitioner,

vs.

No. 63807

MARIO MICONE, Chief Executive of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow; and COUNCIL OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF BUTTE-SILVER BOW,

FILE

MAR 7 1979 DAN BÜKVIÇH, CLERK

TUTY CLERK

Respondents.

10 11

# FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

12 13

14

15

This matter came on for hearing in open court on the November 3, 15, and 22nd, 1978. Representatives of petitioner, MONTANA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, INC., appeared with counsel Barry L. Hjort, and were sworn and

16 17

testified. MARIO MICONE, and another witness for the

respondents, appeared with counsel Robert McCarthy, and

18 19

Deirdre Caughlan, and were also sworn and testified.

20

From the evidence and testimony presented at hearing, the Court makes the following:

21 22

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

That petitioner, Montana Public Employees Association, Inc., is a duly organized and existing Montana non-profit corporation which is recognized under Montana law as a labor organization approved and certified to represent the interests of public employees who have banded together and chosen said petitioner as their exclusive representative for collective bargaining purposes.

31

32

II.
That respondent, Mario Micone, is the duly elected,

BTATE PUBLISHING CO. HELENA. MONT. qualified and acting chief executive of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow, and that the Council of Commissioners of the Government of Butte-Silver bow is vested with the legislative power of said government in accordance with provisions of the laws of the State of Montana and the charter and laws of said government.

III.

That petitioner and respondents, and the predecessor county government of the County of Silver Bow, have, since 1973, entered into four (4) separate collective bargaining agreements, with the latest such agreement bearing an effective date of May 5, 1978. (See petitioner's Exhibits 1 through 4.)

IV.

That the present collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties provides in the preamble that:

"This contract applies to the following bargaining unit of employees of the Employer:

- All chief deputies, deputies and assistants of the following elected officials of Butte-Silver Bow, State of Montana: Auditor, Clerk and Recorder, Clerk of the Court, Treasurer.
- 2. All other secretarial, bookkeeping, stenographic and clerical employees of Butte-Silver Bow, authorized by the laws of Montana.
- 3. All Butte-Silver Bow Hospital general office clerical personnel to include: Purchasing Department, Secretaries, Clerks, including Insurance, Payroll, Billing, Admitting, Posting, all Wards, Out Patient-Admitting, Pharmacy Aids, Medical Records, P.B.X. Operators and E.K.G. Technicians.
- 4. All other employees of Butte-Silver Bow who choose to be represented by the Association."

and said Agreement further provides in Article 6, Section 5, that:

"The Association shall be provided payroll deduction for its dues in accordance with existing state laws."

٧.

That each of the four (4) collective bargaining agreements which have been entered into by the parties have contained the above list of provisions with respect to bargaining unit scope and the right of the Association to dues deduction for its members.

VI.

That pursuant to that section of the present, and of past collective bargaining agreements between the parties, which permits: "all other employees of Butte-Silver Bow who choose to be represented by the Association" to join the petitioner's bargaining unit, certain deputy sheriff employees and clerical employees of Silver Bow General Hospital joined petitioner's organization by election in writing (dues deduction authorization), designating petitioner to be their exclusive bargaining representative, and elected to be within the coverage of past collective bargaining agreements between the parties.

.IIV

That pursuant to the above-referenced section of the existing collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties, one Leo Mock, foreman of the Silver Bow County Weed Control Board joined petitioner's organization and availed himself of the protection and benefits of a past collective bargaining agreement negotiated and ratified between the parties.

VIII.

That during the month of April or early May, 1978,

James Fogarty, Butte-Silver Bow Clerk and Recorder, was

directed by the Government of Butte-Silver Bow payroll



I

23.

office to cease deducting membership dues in petitioner's association for certain employees of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow.

That James Fogarty did then direct persons in his office responsible for handling dues deduction for employees of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow to cease withholding dues from the paychecks of the following persons:

June Buckley, Cyrilla Meade, Kristie Burns, Sherrie Ryan, Gloria Cameron, Kathryn Gordon, Cynthia Smyth, Kathy Lamb, Lori Maloney, Barbara Greenfield, Ann McCartney, Ellen Kelly, Kay Markovich, Eileen Reily, Helen Miller, Shiela St. Pierre, Anthony Mazzola, Joan Lawrence, Kathy Shea, Helen McFaul, Dee Dee Krause, Ollie Gardner, Mary Stoddard, Margaret Phillips, Sarah Sullivan, Tony Bierklo, Carl Bartch, Valarie Constantine

all of whom elected, in writing, to be members of petitioner's association, as their exclusive bargaining representative. (See Exhibit 18.)

Х.

That petitioner, through its Executive Director, did on May 26, 1978, demand of the Chief Executive of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow that payroll deductions for the above employees be recommenced.

XI.

That respondent Chief Executive denied such demand and asserted that said employees were not entitled to dues deduction because they were persons hired after the consolidation of the new government of Butte-Silver Bow on May 1, 1977, and as such, were not members of petitioner's bargaining unit and therefore not entitled to the right of dues deduction.

XII.

That the Government of Butte-Silver Bow has a policy

BTATE
PUBLISHING CO.
HELENA, MONT.

of permitting its employees who do not belong to particular bargaining units, or to any bargaining unit at all, to have dues deducted from their paychecks for membership in labor organizations, including petitioner's organization.

XIII.

That the parties have entered into a collective bargaining contract whereby respondents have agreed in clear and unambiguous terms, to-wit: "all other employees of Butte-Silver Bow who choose to be represented by the Association," to permit any employee of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow who so desires to join and be represented by petitioner's organization.

XIV.

That subsequent to the denial by respondent Micone of petitioner's demand for recommencement of dues deduction for the affected employees represented by petitioner herein petitioner filed this action on October 11, 1978, seeking a Writ of Mandamus to compel the government of Butte-Silver Bow to recommence taking dues deductions from the payroll check of each employee of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow who is a member of petitioner's association and who has signed the proper payroll authorization; and further, seeking a declaratory judgment that each member of petitioner's collective bargaining unit is entitled to all of the rights, benefits and privileges of the existing collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties.

XV.

That the persons whose names appear in Finding of Fact IX have signed the payroll deduction authorization, have elected petitioner to be their exclusive bargaining 32 representative, and have sought the rights, benefits and



2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

STATE PUBLISHING CO. HELENA, MONT. privileges, and more particularly the wage benefits, conferred by the collective bargaining contract presently in effect between the parties and have each been denied such rights, benefits, privileges and wages.

# xvi.

That petitioner sought to file a grievance under the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties concerning the denial by respondents of the wage benefits of the contract to the above-listed employees of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow, and petitioner further sought arbitration under the collective bargaining agreement of respondents denial of such wages, but the demand for grievance and arbitration was denied by respondents and their representatives and agents. (See petitioner's Exhibit 12 through 17 and Exhibit 19.)

#### XVII.

That every employee of the Government of Butte-Silver
Bow who belongs to petitioner's association and chooses to be
represented by said association is entitled to all the
rights, privileges and benefits, including wages, of the
collective bargaining agreement presently in effect between
the parties.

#### XVIII.

That petitioner has no plain, adequate or speedy remedy in the ordinary course of law.

### XIX.

That a real controversy exists between the parties which is capable of resolution by this action.

#### XX.

That because of respondents failure and refusal to observe the plain language of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties, petitioner and

its members suffered irreparable injury.

XXI.

That this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this action.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι.

That the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this action.

II.

That petitioner has no plain, adequate or speedy remedy in the ordinary course of law.

III.

That it is provided in Section 59-1612, RCM 1947, that:

"Upon written authorization of any public employee in the bargaining unit, the public employer shall deduct from the pay of the public employee the monthly amount of dues as certified by the secretary of the exclusive representative and shall deliver the dues to the treasurer of the exclusive representative."

IV.

That respondents have no discretion to deny the withholding of dues deductions for employees represented by petitioner and respondents further have a clear legal duty to withhold properly authorized dues deductions from the payroll checks of its employees and to pay the full sum of said dues deductions to petitioner as the exclusive representative of the affected employees.

V.

That the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties clearly and unambiguously permits any employee of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow who chooses to be represented by petitioners association to come within the coverage of the collective bargaining agreements; terms and conditions.



2 3

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32 DATED this 200 day of March, 1979.

ARNOLD OLSEN, DISTRICT JUDGE

That respondents have failed to observe the plain language of the collective bargaining agreement in effect between the parties and certain of petitioner's members have been improperly denied rights, privileges, and benefits to which they are entitled under the collective bargaining agreement.

#### VII.

That a real and a justifiable controversy exists between the parties.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Court makes the following:

# ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- Respondents and their agents and representatives are mandated to immediately commence deducting the dues of those employees of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow who have submitted proper authorization for the same;
- That the collective bargaining agreement presently in effect between the parties permits, by its terms, any employee of the Government of Butte-Silver Bow who chooses to be represented by the Association, to be entitled to all of the rights, privileges, and benefits, including wages, which are conferred upon bargaining unit members under the terms of the collective bargaining contract presently in effect between the parties;
- That petitioner shall have their costs and disbursements herein, including reasonable attorney's fees to be determined at a hearing in this matter on the 16th day of March, 1979, at, 11:00 o'clock A.M.